

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/539,637	03/30/2000	0 Fong-Shek Lam 10559/170001/P8263 84		8485
20985	7590 07/17/2003			
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAMINER	
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122		•	WALLACE, SCOTT A	
		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2671	13
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003	75

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner	•		Application No.	plicant(s)				
## Deficie Action Summary Examinar	Office Action Summary							
South Wallace South Wallac								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _3_MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edamerican form may be serioded where the precisions of 37 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a right be timely filled # the period for reply specified above is less than thirt (DID) days, a reply within the adalationy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered limely. ## If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DID) days, a reply with the adalation of thirty (30) days will be considered limely. ## If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DID) days, a reply with the adalation of thirty (30) days will be considered limely. ## If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DID) days, a reply with the adalation of thirty (30) days will be considered limely. ## If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DID) days, a reply within the adalation of this consortion. ## If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DID) days, a reply within the adalation of this consortion. ## If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DID) days, a reply within the adalation of this consortion. ## If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DID) days, a reply within the adalation. ## If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DID) days, a reply within the adalation. ## If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DID) days will be considered limely. ## If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DID) days and the reply filed than the reply specified above the spe								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _3 _ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ethericinos of this may be available under the previous of 3 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Ethericinos of this may be available under the previous of 3 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Ethericinos of the property of								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estatecians of time may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.15(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 50 (g) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It no provides to the provision of the communication of the communicati	Period for Reply							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are. a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by local disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(e) Notice of References Cited (PTC-852) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTC-152)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(b) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	April 2003 .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	, <u></u>							
A) □ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. **Attachment(s)** Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Attachment(s)** 19 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 19 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 19 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.							
6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ▷ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9	6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
	2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal I					

Application/Control Number: 09/539,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 2671

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 04/30/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's argument on page 11, third paragraph states "neither reference teaches or suggest "setting an indicator in a line buffer". Kansal et al discloses a threshold shown as a low water mark. When data stored FIFO falls below threshold level, the graphics controller reads the nest piece of data. This water mark functions the same way as the indicator as seen in claim 1. It determines when data has reached a certain level in the FIFO then adds more data.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,172,669 in view of Kansal et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,657,055.
- 5. As per claims 1 and 22, Murphy et al discloses a method comprising: a line buffer to store up to a full line of video overlay data (column 4 lines 47-51 and column 5 lines 35-43); reading pixel data for a

Application/Control Number: 09/539,637 Page 3
Art Unit: 2671

current video line from the line buffer (column 5 lines 35-47 and column 6 lines 1-10). However, Murphy et al does not specifically disclose an indicator and determining when the data reaches the indicator and loading data for the next video line into the line buffer. This is disclosed in Kansal et al in column 1 lines 49-65. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an indicator (water mark) as in Kansal et al with the system of Murphy et al because this takes

advantage of idle system memory bus and allows other devices to gave earlier access to the system.

- 6. As per claim 2, Murphy et al discloses wherein setting the indicator in the line buffer comprises setting the indicator at approximately a middle of the line buffer (column 6 lines 22-31, there is some indication when the FIFO is half full, therefore this is the same as setting an indicator at the middle mark because when the data reaches this mark there is only half of it left), and wherein loading data for the next video line into the line buffer comprises loading a first half of the data for the next video line when the pixel data being read reaches the indicator in the line buffer (column 6 lines 1-31), and further comprises loading a second half of the data for the next video line when the pixel data being read reaches the end of the line buffer (column 6 lines 1-31).
- 7. As per claim 3, Murphy et al discloses wherein loading data for the next video line comprises: loading a first portion of the data for the next video line when the pixel data reaches the indicator (column 6 lines 22-31, there is some indication when the FIFO is half full, therefore this is the same as setting an indicator at the middle mark because when the data reaches this mark there is only half of it left); and loading a second portion of the data for the next video line when the pixel data reaches the end of the line buffer (column 6 lines 1-31).
- 8. As per claim 4, Murphy et al discloses further comprising processing the current video line data for the display (column 4 lines 47-51 and column 5 lines 35-47).
- 9. As per claim 5, Murphy et al discloses displaying the processed video line data (column 6 lines 35-47).
- 10. As per claim 6, Murphy et al discloses creating a video overlay from the processed video line data (fig 1 and column 4 lines 47-51).

Application/Control Number: 09/539,637 Page 4

Art Unit: 2671

11. As per claim 7, Murphy et al discloses positioning the pixel data on an active display to create a video overlay (fig 1 and column 4 lines 47-51).

- As claim 8, Murphy et al discloses a method of processing video overlay data comprising: reading video overlay data for a current video line from a line buffer, the line buffer to store up to a full line of the video overlay data. However, Murphy et al does not specifically disclose detecting the position in the line buffer the video overlay data is located and loading the next line of video depending on this detection.

 This is disclosed in Kansal et al in column 1 lines 49-65. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an detection step (water mark) as in Kansal et al with the system of Murphy et al because this takes advantage of idle system memory bus and allows other devices to gave earlier access to the system.
- 13. As per claim 9, Kansal et al discloses setting the predetermined positioned at a position before all the current line of video overlay data is read (column 1 lines 49-65).
- 14. As per claim 10, Murphy et al discloses wherein the predetermined position is at approximately a midpoint of the line buffer (column 6 lines 22-31, there is some predetermined position when the FIFO is half full, therefore this is the same as setting a predetermined position at the middle mark because when the data reaches this mark there is only half of it left), and wherein loading data for the next video line into the line buffer comprises loading a first half of the data for the next video line after the video data for the current video line has been read from the predetermined position (column 6 lines 1-31), and further comprises loading a second half of the data for the next video line after the video data for the current video line has been read from the end of the line buffer (column 6 lines 1-31).
- 15. As per claim 11, Murphy et al discloses wherein loading data for the next video line comprises: loading a first portion of the data for the next video line into the line buffer when the video data from the predetermined position has been read (column 6 lines 22-31, there is some indication when the FIFO is half full, therefore this is the same as setting an indicator at the middle mark because when the data reaches this mark there is only half of it left); and loading a second portion of the data for the next video line into the line buffer when the video data from the end of the line buffer has been read (column 6 lines 1-31).

Application/Control Number: 09/539,637 Art Unit: 2671

- 16. As per claim 12, Murphy et al discloses further comprising processing the current video line data for the display (column 4 lines 47-51 and column 5 lines 35-47).
- 17. As per claim 13, Murphy et al discloses displaying the processed video line data (column 6 lines 35-47).
- 18. As per claim 14, Murphy et al discloses a overlay display processor (fig 1) comprising: a line buffer to store up to a full line of video overlay data (column 5 lines 35-47), the line buffer having a plurality of memory locations (column 5 lines 35-67), the line buffer adapted to provide data to a display (column 5 lines 35-67). Murphy et al does not specifically disclose an indicator and wherein the line buffer begins to read data for a next video line when the line buffer provides data from the indicator. This is disclosed in Kansal et al in column 1 lines 49-65. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an indicator (water mark) as in Kansal et al with the system of Murphy et al because this takes advantage of idle system memory bus and allows other devices to gave earlier access to the system.
- 19. As per claim 15, Murphy et al discloses a graphic memory which provides the video pixel data to the line buffer (column 5 lines 35-47); and a pixel processing engine to determine whether data for a current video line has been read from the predetermined memory location in the line buffer (column 6 lines 1-31), the pixel processing engine further to subsequently load a first portion of data for the next video line into the line buffer (column 6 lines 1-31).
- 20. As per claim 16, Murphy et al discloses wherein the line buffer provides data to the display for a current video line (column 5 lines 35-47).
- 21. As per claims 17 and 23, Murphy et al discloses wherein the indicator is located at a position at approximately a midpoint of the line buffer (column 6 lines 1-31).
- 22. As per claim 18, Murphy et al discloses a overlay system comprising: video memory which stores video data (column 5 lines 35-47); an overlay processing engine comprising; a line buffer to store up to a full line of video overlay data (fig 1 and column 4 lines 47-51 and column 5 lines 35-47), the line buffer to receive the video overlay data from the video memory (column 5 lines 35-47); video processing circuitry to prepare the video overlay data in the line buffer to be displayed (column 5 lines 35-47); and a display to

Application/Control Number: 09/539,637

Art Unit: 2671

receive the processed data from the overlay processing engine (fig 1), wherein the line buffer is to read data for a next video data line when the line buffer provides a predetermined amount of data to the display for a current video data line (column 6 lines 1-31). Murphy et al does not specifically disclose an indicator. This is disclosed in Kansal et al in column 1 lines 49-65. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an indicator (water mark) as in Kansal et al with the system of Murphy et al because this takes advantage of idle system memory bus and allows other devices to gave earlier access to the system.

- 23. As per claim 19, Murphy et al discloses wherein the predetermined amount of data is approximately half the data comprising the current video data line (column 6 lines 1-31).
- 24. As per claim 20, Murphy et al discloses wherein the overlay processing engine provides data to the display to create a video overlay (fig 1 and column 4 lines 47-51).
- 25. As per claim 21, Murphy et al discloses wherein the video processing circuitry includes pixel color conversion and adjustment (column 5 lines 35-55).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Scott Wallace** whose telephone number is **703-605-5163**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Mark Zimmerman**, can be reached at 703-305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Application/Control Number: 09/539,637

Page 7

Art Unit: 2671

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

MARK ZIMMERMAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600